### Nearly invariant subspaces for shift semigroups

Yuxia Liang liangyx1986@126.com (jointed with Jonathan R. Partington, Ze-Hua Zhou) Tianjin Normal University

August 18, 2022 at Shanghai University

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Contents

- ▶ 1. Invariant subspace problem
- ▶ 2. Related subspaces
- ► 3. Hardy spaces
- ▶ 4. Nearly invariant subspaces
- ▶ 5. Nearly invariant subspaces for  $C_0$ -semigroup

▶ 6. Further results and questions

Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  (or  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ ) denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (or a Banach space X).

The invariant subspace problem is the most important open problem in operator theory.

Recall that a subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is invariant with respect to an operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  if  $T\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ . The set  $\mathcal{M}$  is nontrivial if

$$\{0\} \neq \mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{H}.$$

Invariant subspace problem

Let T be a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (or a general Banach space X) of dimension  $\geq 2$ . Does there exist a nontrivial closed subspace invariant with respect to T?

#### Remark

(a) If  $\mathcal{H}$  is nonseparable and f is any nonzero vector of  $\mathcal{H}$ , then the vectors f, Tf,  $T^2f$ , ... span a nontrivial closed subspace invariant with respect to T;

(b) If  $dim\mathcal{H} < \infty$ , then T has at least one eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvector generates an invariant subspace of dimension 1.

The invariant subspace problem has sense only for separable infinite dimensional spaces.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ つ へ の

-Some positive results

• von Neumann, 1930 - for compact operators on Hilbert spaces (unpublished).

• Aronszajn and Smith, 1954 - for compact operators on Banach spaces.

• Lomonosov, 1973- for operators commuting with a compact operator.

• Argyros and Haydon, 2011 - example of an infinite dimensional Banach space s.t. every bounded linear operator is a compact perturbation of a multiple of identity.

[1] Aronszajn N. Smith K T. Invariant subspaces of completely continuous operators, Ann. of Math. 60(2) (1954) 345-350.

[2] Lomonosov V I. Invariant subspaces of the family of operators that commute with a completely continuous operator, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen, 7 (3) (1973) 55-56. [3] Argyros S A. Haydon R G. A hereditarily indecomposable  $L^{\infty}$ -space that solves the scalar-plus-compact problem, Acta Math 206(2011) 1-54.

#### -Several negative examples

• Enflo, 1987 - first example of a bounded operator on non-reflexive Banach space without nontrivial invariant subspaces.

- Read, 1991, 1997 strictly singular operators and quasinilpotent operators on  $\ell_1$  without nontrivial invariant subspaces.
- Problem is still open for  $\ell_2,$  separable Hilbert spaces, reflexive Bananch spaces.

[4] Enflo P. On the invariant subspace problem for Banach spaces, Acta Math. 158 (34) (1987) 213-313.
[5] C.J. Read, Strictly singular operators and the invariant subspace problem, Studia Math. 132 (3)(1991) 203-226.
[6] Read C J. Quasinilpotent operators and the invariant subspace problem, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 56 (3)(1997) 595-606.

### 2. Related subspaces

• Reducing subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  for operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  if  $T\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$  and  $T\mathcal{M}^{\perp} \subset \mathcal{M}^{\perp}$ .  $\Leftrightarrow$  the orthogonal projection  $P_{\mathcal{M}}$  onto  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $P_{\mathcal{M}}T = TP_{\mathcal{M}}$ .

• Quasi-invariant subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  in Fock space  $\mathcal{F}^2$  if  $pf \in \mathcal{F}^2$  implies  $pf \in \mathcal{M}$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  and any polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{F}^2$ . (Introduced for Fock space  $\mathcal{F}^2$ ), where

$$\mathcal{F}^2 = \{ f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}^2}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^2} \frac{dA(z)}{\pi} < \infty \}.$$

[7] J. Hu, S. Sun, X. Xu and D. Yu, Reducing subspace of analytic Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 49(3)(2004)387-395.
[8] K. Guo, D. Zheng, Invariant subspaces, quasi-invariant subspaces and Hankel operators, J. Funct. Anal. 187 (2001) 308-342.
[9] X. Chen, K. Guo, S. Hou, Analytic Hilbert spaces over the complex plane, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 268(2)(2002) 684-700.

### 2. Related subspaces

• Almost invariant subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  if there exists a finite dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $T(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{F}$ .

Equivalent Question: Given a  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , can we perturb it by a finite rank operator F such that T + F has an invariant subspace?

Theorem (Tcaciuc, Duke Mathematical Journal, 2019)

Let X be an infinite dimensional complex Banach space and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then there exists a bounded operator F of rank at most one such that T + F has an invariant half-space.

(half-space: it is infinite dimension and infinite codimension.)

[10] G. Androulakis, A.I. Popov, A. Tcaciuc, V.G. Troitsky, Almost invariant half-spaces of operators on Banach spaces, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 65 (4) (2009) 473-484.

[11] A. Tcaciuc, The invariant subspace problem for rank-one perturbations, Duke Mathematical Journal, (2019) Doi: 10.1215/ 00127094-2018-0071.

### Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{D})$

For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $H^p(\mathbb{D})$  contains all  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  such that

$$\|f\|_{p} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

The space  $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$  consists of all  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{|z|<1} |f(z)| < \infty.$$

### Vector-valued Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}')$

 $H^p(\mathbb{D},\mathbb{C}')$  consists of all analytic mapping  $F:\mathbb{D} o\mathbb{C}'$  such that

$$\|F\|_{p} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \|F(re^{i\theta})\|^{p} d\theta \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

### Hardy Hilbert space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$

 $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is the Hardy space defined on  $\mathbb{D}$  behaving

$$H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) = \{ f \in H(\mathbb{D}), f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}, \|f\|^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{k}|^{2} < \infty \} \sim \ell^{2}.$$
$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} \overline{b_{k}} \text{ for } g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k} \in H^{2}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Recall the biholomorphism  $A: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}_+$  is defined as  $A(z) = \frac{1-z}{1+z}$ .

### Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$

 $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$  is the Hardy space defined on the right half-plane  $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{s = x + iy, x > 0\}$  contains all analytic functions  $f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)}^2 = \sup_{x>0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x+iy)|^2 dy < \infty.$$

#### Inner-outer Factorization

Let f be a nonzero function in  $H^1(\mathbb{D})$ . Then f has a factoriza -tion  $f = \theta \cdot u$ , where  $\theta$  is inner and u is outer. This factorization is unique up to a constant of modulus 1.

#### Inner function and outer function

An inner function is an  $H^{\infty}$  function that has unit modulus almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

An outer function is a function  $f \in H^1$  that has the form  $f(z) = \alpha \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{iw} + z}{e^{iw} - z} k(e^{iw}) dw\right), \ z \in \mathbb{D},$ 

(日本本語を本語を本語を、日本の(の)

where k is a real-valued integrable function and  $|\alpha| = 1$ .

#### Examples of outer functions

All invertible functions in  $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ . Polynomials whose zeroes all lie outside  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . Further all polynomials whose zeros lie in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}$  are outer functions, such as 1 - z, 1 + z and so on.

#### Examples of inner functions

All automorphisms  $(z - a)/(1 - \overline{a}z)$  with  $a, z \in \mathbb{D}$ . More generally, the finite and infinite degree Blaschke products

$$B_n(z) = \alpha \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{z-z_j}{1-\overline{z_j}z},$$

where |lpha|=1 and  $|z_j|<1$  for  $j=1,\cdots,n$ ;

$$B(z) = z^m \prod_{z_k \neq 0} \frac{\overline{z_k}}{|z_k|} \frac{z - z_k}{1 - \overline{z_k} z}$$

where  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_k|) < \infty$ .

4.1 Unilateral shift and invariant subspaces

### Unilateral shift

Let  $S: H^2(\mathbb{D}) o H^2(\mathbb{D})$  denote the unilateral shift

$$[Sf](z)=zf(z).$$

Its adjoint operator on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is  $[S^*f](z) = (f(z) - f(0))/z$ .

#### Beurling's Theorem, 1949

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a non-zero subspace of  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  that is invariant under S. Then  $\mathcal{K} = \theta H^2(\mathbb{D})$  for some inner function  $\theta$ , which is unique to within a constant of modulus 1.

#### Remark

The proper  $S^*$ -invariant subspace is model space

$$K_{\theta} := (\theta H^2)^{\perp} = H^2 \ominus \theta H^2.$$

### Toeplitz operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$

For  $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_{\phi} : H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \to H^{2}(\mathbb{D})$  is  $(T_{\phi}f)(\lambda) = P_{H^{2}}(\phi \cdot f)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\phi(\zeta)f(\zeta)}{1 - \overline{\zeta}\lambda} dm(\zeta),$ where  $P_{H^{2}}$  is the orthogonal projection from  $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$  onto  $H^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ .  $L^{2}(\mathbb{T}) = H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \oplus \overline{H^{2}_{0}(\mathbb{D})} = H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \oplus \overline{zH^{2}(\mathbb{D})},$ 

 $L (I) = H (\mathbb{D}) \oplus H_0^{-}(\mathbb{D}) = H (\mathbb{D}) \oplus 2H^{-}(\mathbb{D}),$ 

where  $H^2(\mathbb{D}) = \bigvee \{ z^n : n \ge 0 \}$  and  $H^2_0(\mathbb{D}) = \bigvee \{ z^n : n < 0 \}.$ 

#### Remark

Let 
$$\phi(z) = z$$
, it follows that  $T_z = S$  and  $T_z^* = S^*$ .

[11] S. Garcia, J. Mashreghi, W.T. Ross, Introduction to Model Spaces and Their Operators, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2016).

4.2 Nearly S<sup>\*</sup>-invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ 

#### Definition (Hitt, Pac. J. Math. 1988)

A closed subspace  $\mathcal{M} \subset H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is nearly  $S^*$ -invariant if whenever  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  and f(0) = 0, then  $S^*f \in \mathcal{M}$ . [weakly invariant]

#### Remark

 $\mathcal{M}$  is  $S^*$  invariant if and only if  $\mathcal{M}$  is nearly  $S^*$  invariant and  $1 \in \mathcal{M} + z\mathcal{M}$ .

[12] D. Hitt, Invariant subspaces of  $H^2$  of an annulus, Pac. J. Math. 134 (1)(1988) 101-120.

[13] D. Sarason, Nearly invariant subspaces of the backward shift, In: Contributions to Operator Theory and Its Applications (Mesa, AZ, 1987), 481-493. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 35. Birkhäuser, Basel (1988).

### Theorem (Hitt, 1988; Sarason, 1988)

The nearly  $S^*$ -invariant subspaces have the form  $\mathcal{M} = uK$ , with  $u \in \mathcal{M}$  of the unit norm, u(0) > 0 and u orthogonal to all eleme -nts of  $\mathcal{M}$  vanishing at the origin, K an  $S^*$ -invariant subspace, and the operator multiplication by u is isometric from K into  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

#### Example

There is a nearly S<sup>\*</sup>-invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ 

$$\mathcal{M} = \varphi_{\frac{1}{2}}(z) \mathcal{K}_{z^{n}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} - z}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z} \cdot \operatorname{span}\{1, z, z^{2}, \cdots, z^{n-1}\}.$$

◆ロト ◆聞 ≻ ◆臣 ≻ ◆臣 ≻ 三臣 → のへで

4.3 Nearly  $T^*_{\theta}$ -invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ 

#### Definition

A closed subspace  $\mathcal{M} \subset H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is nearly  $T^*_{\theta}$ -invariant if  $f \in \mathcal{M}, \ T^*_{\theta}f = \overline{\theta}f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}) \Rightarrow \ T^*_{\theta}f \in \mathcal{M}.$ 

#### Example

Let  $kerT_{\phi}$  denote the Toeplitz kernel of  $T_{\phi}$ :  $H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \to H^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ . Then  $kerT_{\phi}$  is nearly  $T_{\theta}^{*}$  invariant for any inner function  $\theta$ .

proof. Suppose  $f \in kerT_{\phi}$  such that  $\overline{\theta}f \in H^2$ . It follows that

$$P_{H^2}(\phi f) = 0 \Rightarrow \phi f \in \overline{H_0^2} = \overline{zH^2}.$$

That means  $\phi f = \overline{z}\overline{h}$  for some  $h \in H^2$ . Hence

$$\phi(\overline{\theta}f) = \overline{\theta}\overline{z}\overline{h} \in \overline{H_0^2} \ \Rightarrow \ \overline{\theta}f \in kerT_\phi.$$

4.4 Nearly  $T^{-1}$ -invariant subspace in  $\mathcal H$ 

### Definition (Liang, Partington, CAOT, 2021)

Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be left invertible. A closed subspace  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$  is nearly  $T^{-1}$ -invariant if for every  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $Tf \in \mathcal{M}$ , it holds that  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ .

#### Remark

Let  $T = T_{\theta}$ :  $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \to H^2(\mathbb{D})$  with an inner function  $\theta$ , then the nearly  $T^{-1}$  invariance is nearly  $T^*_{\theta}$  invariance.

[14] Liang Y, Partington J R. Nearly invariant subspaces for operators in Hilbert spaces. Complex Anal Oper Theory, 2021, 15: 5, 17pp

### Theorem (Liang, Partington, CAOT, 2021)

Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is a nonzero nearly  $T^*_{B_m}$  invariant subspace with a degree-*m* Blaschke product  $B_m$ . Let the matrix

$$G_0(z) := [g_1(z), g_2(z), \cdots, g_l(z)]^t,$$

contain an orthonormal basis  $(g_i(z))_{i=1}^l$  of  $\mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap B_m H^2(\mathbb{D}))$ . Then there exist a nonnegative integer  $l' \leq l$  and an operatorvalued inner function  $\Phi \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^{l'}, \mathbb{C}^l))$ , unique up to unitary equivalence, s.t.

$$\mathcal{M} = \{f : \exists h \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}') \ominus \Phi H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}''), f(z) = h(T_{B_m})G_0(z)\}.$$

[14] Liang Y, Partington J R. Nearly invariant subspaces for operators in Hilbert spaces. Complex Anal Oper Theory, 2021, 15: 5, 17pp

Question How to characterize the nearly  $T_B^*$  invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  for an infinite degree Blaschke product *B*?

#### Caradus, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1969

For an infinite degree Blaschke product B, the Toeplitz operator  $T_B^*: H^2(\mathbb{D}) \to H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is universal and it is similar to the backward shift  $S(1)^*$  on  $L^2(0, \infty)$ , given by  $S(1)^*f(t) = f(t+1)$ .

#### The shift semigroup

In general, the shift semigroup S(t):  $L^2(0,\infty) \to L^2(0,\infty)$  with  $t \ge 0$  is defined by

$$(S(t)f)(\zeta) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} 0, & \zeta \leq t, \ f(\zeta-t), & \zeta > t. \end{array} 
ight.$$

And the adjoint semigroup  $\{S(t)^*\}_{t\geq 0}$  is  $(S(t)^*f)(\zeta) = f(\zeta + t)$ .

[15] S.R. Caradus, Universal operators and invariant subspaces, Proc. Amer. Math.
 Soc. 23 (1969), 526-527.

### Commutative Diagrams

$$\begin{array}{cccc} L^{2}(0,\infty) & \stackrel{S(t)}{\longrightarrow} & L^{2}(0,\infty) \\ & & \downarrow \mathcal{L} & & \downarrow \mathcal{L} \\ H^{2}(\mathbb{C}_{+}) & \stackrel{M(t)}{\longrightarrow} & H^{2}(\mathbb{C}_{+}) \\ & & \downarrow V^{-1} & & \downarrow V^{-1} \\ H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) & \stackrel{T(t)}{\longrightarrow} & H^{2}(\mathbb{D}). \end{array}$$

Here

$$(\mathcal{L}f)(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) dt, \quad (V^{-1}g)(z) = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{1+z} g(A(z)),$$
$$(M(t)g)(s) = e^{-st}g(s), \quad (T(t)h)(z) = \phi^t(z)h(z),$$
with  $\phi^t(z) := \exp\left(-t\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right).$ 

#### $C_0$ -semigroup

A family  $\{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is called a  $C_0$ -semigroup if T(0) = I, T(t+s) = T(t)T(s) for all  $s, t \geq 0$  and  $\lim_{t\to 0} T(t)x = x$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .

### Nearly $\{T(t)^*\}_{t\geq 0}$ invariant subspace

Let  $\{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  be a subspace. If for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  whenever  $T(t)x \in \mathcal{N}$  for some t > 0, then  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ , we call  $\mathcal{N}$  a nearly  $\{T(t)^*\}_{t\geq 0}$  invariant subspace.

#### Remark

Every Toeplitz kernel in  $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$  or  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is nearly  $\{M(t)^*\}_{t\geq 0}$ or  $\{T(t)^*\}_{t\geq 0}$  invariant in  $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$  or  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , respectively.

### The smallest (cyclic) nearly $\{S(t)^*\}_{t\geq 0}$ invariant subspace

Let  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq L^2(0,\infty)$  be a nearly  $\{S(t)^*\}_{t\geq 0}$  invariant subspace, and denote the smallest nearly  $\{S(t)^*\}_{t\geq 0}$  invariant subspace in  $\mathcal{N}$ containing some nonzero vector f by  $[f]_s$ . There are two cases.

(i) There is no function  $f \in \mathcal{N}$ , apart from the zero function, for which there exists some  $\delta > 0$  with f = 0 almost everywhere on  $(0, \delta)$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{N}$  is a trivial nearly  $\{S(t)^*\}_{t\geq 0}$  invariant subspace and  $[f]_s = \mathbb{C}f$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{N}$ .

(ii) There are a  $\delta > 0$  and a function  $f \in \mathcal{N}$  that vanishes almost everywhere on  $(0, \delta)$  and not on  $(0, \delta + \epsilon)$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $S(\delta)S(\delta)^*f = f \in \mathcal{N}$ , the near  $\{S(t)^*\}_{t \ge 0}$  invariance implies  $g := S(\delta)^*f \in \mathcal{N}$ . Meanwhile, we have  $S(\lambda)g = S(\delta - \lambda)^*f \in \mathcal{N}$ for all  $0 \le \lambda \le \delta$ . So

$$[f]_{s} = \bigvee \{ S(\delta - \lambda)^{*} f, \ 0 \leq \lambda \leq \delta \}.$$

Main Example 1.  $f(\zeta) = e_{\delta}(\zeta) := e^{-\zeta}\chi_{(\delta,\infty)}(\zeta)$  with  $\delta > 0$ .

### The orthonormal basis for $L^2(0,\infty)$

It is known that  $\{\sqrt{2\pi}p_n(t)e^{-t}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  forms an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(0,\infty)$ , with  $p_n(t) = \pm L_n(2t)/\sqrt{\pi}$  (a real polynomial of degree n) and  $L_n$  denotes the Laguerre polynomial

$$L_n(t) = \frac{e^t}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} (t^n e^{-t}).$$

#### Proposition 5.1

In  $L^2(0,\infty)$ , the smallest nearly  $\{S(t)^*\}_{t\geq 0}$  invariant subspace containing  $e_\delta$  with some  $\delta > 0$  has the form

$$[e_{\delta}]_{s} := \bigvee \{e_{\lambda}, \ 0 \leq \lambda \leq \delta\} = L^{2}(0, \delta) + \mathbb{C}e^{-\zeta}.$$

### Proposition 5.2

In 
$$H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$$
, the Laplace transform of  $[e_{\delta}]_s$  is  
 $\mathcal{L}([e_{\delta}]_s) = \bigvee \{ \frac{e^{-\lambda s}}{1+s}, \ 0 \le \lambda \le \delta \} = K_{e^{-\delta s}} + \mathbb{C} \frac{1}{1+s} = K_{\frac{1-s}{1+s}e^{-\delta s}},$ 
where  $K_{e^{-\delta s}}$  and  $K_{\frac{1-s}{1+s}e^{-\delta s}}$  are model spaces in  $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ .

### Proposition 5.3

In  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , it holds that  $V^{-1}(\mathcal{L}([e_{\delta}]_s)) = \bigvee \{\phi^{\lambda}, \ 0 \le \lambda \le \delta\} = K_{z\phi^{\delta}},$ where  $\phi^{\lambda}(z) := \exp\left(-\lambda \frac{1-z}{1+z}\right).$ 

### Corollary 5.4

In  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , it holds that  $\bigvee \{\phi^{\lambda}, \ 0 \leq \lambda < \infty\} = H^2(\mathbb{D}).$ 

### Corollary 5.5

In  $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ , it holds that  $\bigvee \{ \frac{e^{-\lambda s}}{1+s}, \ 0 \le \lambda < \infty \} = H^2(\mathbb{C}_+).$ 

Main Example 2.  $f(\zeta) = f_{\delta,1}(\zeta) := (\zeta - \delta)e_{\delta}(\zeta)$  with  $\delta > 0$ .

Using the Laplace transform, it holds that

$$e^{\delta}\mathcal{L}(f_{\delta,1})(s)=rac{e^{-\delta s}}{(1+s)^2}.$$

The smallest nearly  $\{S(t)^*\}_{t\geq 0}$  invariant subspace containing the vector  $f_{\delta,1}$  in  $\mathcal N$  is

$$[f_{\delta,1}]_s = \bigvee \{ (\zeta - \lambda) e_{\lambda}, \ 0 \le \lambda \le \delta \}.$$

#### Proposition 5.6

In  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , it holds that  $V^{-1}(\mathcal{L}([f_{\delta,1}]_s)) = \bigvee \{(1+z)\phi^{\lambda}, \ 0 \le \lambda \le \delta\} = K_{z^2\phi^{\delta}}.$ 

#### Theorem 5.7

The Laplace transform of the smallest nearly  $\{S(t)^*\}_{t\geq 0}$ invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$  containing  $f_{\delta,1}$  with some  $\delta > 0$  has the form

$$\mathcal{L}([f_{\delta,1}]_s) = \bigvee \{ \frac{e^{-\lambda s}}{(1+s)^2}, \ 0 \le \lambda \le \delta \} = \mathcal{K}_{\left(\frac{1-s}{1+s}\right)^2 e^{-\delta s}},$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

where  $K_{\left(\frac{1-s}{1+s}\right)^2 e^{-\delta s}}$  is a model space in  $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ .

Main Example 3.  $f(\zeta) = f_{\delta,n}(\zeta) := \frac{(\zeta - \delta)^n}{n!} e_{\delta}(\zeta)$  with  $\delta > 0, n \ge 0$ .

By the Laplace transform we have

$$e^{\delta}\mathcal{L}(f_{\delta,n})(s)=rac{e^{-\delta s}}{(1+s)^{n+1}}.$$

#### Theorem 5.8

For any nonnegative integer n and  $\delta > 0$ , the followings hold. (1) In  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , it holds that the smallest nearly  $\{T(t)^*\}_{t\geq 0}$ invariant subspace  $\bigvee \{(1+z)^n \phi^\lambda, 0 \leq \lambda \leq \delta\} = K_{z^{n+1}\phi^\delta};$ (2) In  $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ , it holds that the smallest nearly  $\{M(t)^*\}_{t\geq 0}$ invariant subspace  $\bigvee \{\frac{e^{-\lambda s}}{(1+s)^{n+1}}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq \delta\} = K_{(\frac{1-s}{1+s})^{n+1}e^{-\delta s}}.$ 

### Further results

Define

$$c(g) := \overline{gK_{z\phi^{\delta}}} = igvee \{g\phi^{\lambda}, \ 0 \leq \lambda \leq \delta\}$$

for a more general function  $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ .

### Theorem 6.1: The nearly $S^*$ invariant property of $\overline{gK_{\theta}}$

Let  $g \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$  with  $g(0) \neq 0$  and  $\theta$  a non-constant inner function. Then  $\overline{gK_{\theta}}$  is nearly  $S^*$  invariant, and so by Hitt's theorem it can be written as hK, where K is  $S^*$ -invariant (either a model space or  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  itself) and  $h \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is a function such that multiplication by h is isometric on K.

### Proposition 6.2

Let 
$$\widetilde{p}_N(z) := \prod_{j=1}^N (z+w_j)$$
 with  $w_j \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $j = 1, \cdots, N$ , it follows that

$$c(\widetilde{p}_N) + \phi^{\delta} K_{z^N} = K_{z^{N+1}\phi^{\delta}}.$$

Hence  $c(\tilde{p}_N)$  has codimension at most N in  $K_{z^{N+1}\phi^{\delta}}$ .

#### Theorem 6.3

Suppose  $g(z) = \tilde{p}_N(z)h(z)$  with  $\tilde{p}_N(z) := \prod_{j=1}^N (z + w_j)$ , where  $w_j \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , and h is an invertible rational function in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ . Then c(g) has codimension at most N in  $hK_{z^{N+1}\phi^{\delta}}$ , that is,

$$c(g) + h\phi^{\delta}K_{z^N} = hK_{z^{N+1}\phi^{\delta}}.$$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ つ へ の

#### Theorem 6.4

Let  $g \in H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$  be rational with *m* zeros on the imaginary axis and let n > m such that  $s^{n-m}g(s)$  tends to a finite nonzero limit at  $\infty$ . Then *g* can be written as  $g = G_1G_2$ , where  $G_1$  is rational and invertible in  $L^{\infty}(i\mathbb{R})$  and  $G_2(s) = \prod_{k=1}^m (s - y_k)/(s + 1)^n$  with all  $y_k \in i\mathbb{R}$ . Then it holds that

$$\bigvee \{ge^{-\lambda s}, \ 0 \leq \lambda \leq \delta\} + G_1 e^{-\delta s} \mathcal{K}_{\left(\frac{1-s}{1+s}\right)^{n-1}} = G_1 \mathcal{K}_{\left(\frac{1-s}{1+s}\right)^n e^{-\delta s}}.$$

### Further questions

- 1. Are there more general examples?
- ► 2. What is the complete characterization for nearly {S(t)\*}<sub>t≥0</sub> invariant subspaces in L<sup>2</sup>(0,∞)?
- ► 3. How to give the nearly T<sup>\*</sup><sub>B</sub> invariant subspaces for an infinite Blaschke product B?

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ つへの

# **Thanks!**